

Planning Application F/24/97312

Land adjoining 4 Brookfield, Providence Hill, Bursledon.,

Representative	Address	Comments
James Bampton	STRAWBERRY HILL LONG LANE BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8DA	Why do you keep building in overly congested areas. The traffic on the A27 is terrible. The pollution from static cars is not good for health and now you are considering adding more housing and more traffic. I struggle to get onto the A27 everyday. I object to this. Please go and build this in your own back garden.
Dominika Simpson	27 SUNNYFIELD RISE BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8FA	The traffic will not be able to cope with such a large care home, especially with the 24/hr access that will be required. It's already busy and the roads are not capable to cope with the current amount of traffic. The infrastructure needs to be put in place to support this beforehand. Otherwise it's rather delusional to put this kind of applications in place.
Mike Barnett	MYRTLEDENE WINDMILL LANE BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8BG	Traffic Congestion: The location of the proposed care home is adjacent to the A27, a busy thoroughfare. No road improvements have been suggested to accommodate the extra traffic. This would significantly exacerbate traffic congestion, posing safety risks to residents, especially considering the proximity to Bursledon Windmill, a cherished local landmark. Environmental Impact: Increased traffic flow from the care home would contribute to heightened pollution levels, impacting the local environment and public health. This conflicts with the community's desire for sustainable development and environmental preservation, particularly in an area so close to the Windmill, a symbol of our heritage and natural beauty. Noise Pollution: The operation of a care home involves constant activity and can generate noise disturbances, particularly for nearby residential areas. This could disrupt the tranquility and quality of life for existing residents, further compounded by the proximity to Bursledon Windmill, a site cherished for its serene ambiance. Impact on Infrastructure: The current infrastructure is not adequately equipped to support the needs of a care home facility, including provisions for emergency services, waste management, and utilities. This could strain local resources and diminish the overall livability of the area, especially without accompanying road improvements to mitigate the impact on traffic flow and safety. Aesthetic Concerns: The proposed care home's design and architecture should harmonize with the surrounding landscape and existing structures. While developments like the Goslings have been integrated well, any deviation from this could detract from the visual appeal of the area and undermine its character, including the unique ambiance surrounding Bursledon Windmill.
Lisa Parsons	13 DEVONSHIRE GARDENS BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8HE	The local roads and infrastructure are already stretched beyond capacity from all the house building in the area in recent years. 25 years ago Bursledon was pretty much a green-belt area but the former fields are now housing estates and this proposed development will fill in the last green gap between two housing developments near the heritage windmill. No consideration is given to existing residents, the environment/ecology or wildlife.
Ceridwen Brinkers	LINDEN COTTAGE PORTSMOUTH ROAD BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8EQ	I wish to strongly object to this proposal on the grounds that Providence Hill (A27) is already heavily congested with traffic, especially if there is an accident or a problem on the M27. The added pressure of vehicles belonging to staff, medical teams, visitors, deliveries will compound the existing noise and air

		pollution. In addition the land selected for this construction is wooded and provides a natural habitat for wildlife. The area is already developed with new housing estates and wildlife has limited space. I hope you will consider my strong objection and say no to more building in an already crowded area.
Jeffery Mannion	MERRY ROOST BRIDGE ROAD BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8AL	I wish to strongly object to the building of such a large complex on this land. My first concern is that it will increase this already busy traffic route in Bursledon. The area has seen major increases in house building in recent years, the most it has seen in many years, affectively covering most of the green spaces that we had left in Bursledon. The corridor between Windhover roundabout and Bursledon Bridge has become so busy that it is now very difficult to join the road during the day, with it a major increase in noise and vehicle fumes, this is particularly so with the increase in heavy goods vehicles, notably large multi axle tippers, of which there will be many such movements and journeys onto this already busy road. This was certainly the case with the development behind the Bursledon Windmill and the current ongoing development on Providence Hill. My second concern is that the current state of the roads in Bursledon are so poor that this extra traffic will only make matters worse. If Bursledon so badly needs a care home, why was the care home demolished on the opposite side of the Providence Hill and residential flats built in it's place? Why wasn't a care home stipulated during planning and approval of the previously mentioned developments in this area. I see that there is a planning application for more houses in the old Bursledon Children's Hospital complex in Blundell Lane. Wouldn't this be a more favourable location for a care home away from the noise and pollution? (Without MORE HOUSES)
Nick Munton	38 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	There have been previous applications to build on this land which were rejected and this one should be too. The application is not only damaging to the local environment (they have already hacked down an entire row of beautiful trees) but will only compound the, already dreadful, traffic issues. Providence Hill is currently being used as a rat run by thousands of cars per day to avoid the M27 congestion that will be ongoing for a significant period - in combination with the developments already underway in the vicinity the traffic will be beyond manageable. Providence Hill is also a frequently used route for the emergency services to avoid the M27 and yet more traffic on this road will only lead to further delays for such services. The Windhover roundabout is backlogged at most times of the day and, again, yet more traffic will only lead to further congestion and accidents there. There are currently at least a couple of accidents there per week due to the enormous volume of traffic and more staff, family, medical practitioners and all of the others who provide regular services to care homes will only add to that significant traffic issue already in place which will inevitably lead to yet more accidents. The plans also only allow for 28 parking spaces which will clearly not be enough for all of the staff, family visitors, professional visitors who will be attending regularly. That will undoubtedly lead to overflow parking spilling out onto Providence Hill with people parking partly on the road, partly on the path/pavement/grass and causing yet more traffic issues. Seemingly, it will also be 4 floors in height which is completely out of keeping with all of the other properties in the locality. I strongly object to this application and the existing traffic issues that it will only exacerbate .
Adam Searle	39 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON	The adjacent roads are heavily congested the A27 cannot cope now and the windhover roundabout is at a standstill during rush hour. The drainage in the area is also a huge issue and additional waste water will cause massive issues for the small amount of

	SO31 8LP	woodland left.
Christopher Georgios	4 BROOKFIELD PROVIDENCE HILL BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8AU	please see letters in documents
Angela Elliott	18 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	I strongly object to this development for a number of reasons: Roads: Providence Hill is already very congested. The increased traffic to this site from construction vehicles during development will no doubt cause further issues. Once the development is complete, the traffic to the site is likely to be very busy with staff, tradespeople, resident visitors, support services, health support visits etc. This could potentially be even more than if these were all residential buildings. Environment: the land owners recently stripped back trees resulting in increased noise and no doubt pollution to residents in Goslings Turning. The removal of trees and development of the site will also displace wildlife, such as the deer that currently live in this area. Parking: Cars already park inappropriately on verges near the site on Providence Hill, sometimes making it difficult for pedestrians, and particularly for people with buggies etc to pass. This will no doubt increase as plans for parking on site will probably not facilitate all staff and other visitors adequately. This may also cause overflow into the nearby private roads of Goslings Turning. Aesthetics: The plans for the care facility are several stories high which is not in keeping with anything in the surrounding area.
Sonya Edwards	32 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	We strongly oppose the proposal for the following reasons - Nature There has already been so much development along this stretch, which has taken nearly all the green land from this part of Bursledon, meaning wildlife has been pushed out more and more. This small field is one of the last remaining green spaces left for the already displaced wildlife. If this small area of green space also goes, there will only be the small woods at the windmill left for much of the wildlife. There have been 3 resident deer using this land, along with foxes, hedgehogs, slow worms etc, which will not be able to survive, let alone flourish, in just the small area of woodland at the windmill. Hedgehogs in particular, are now endangered, the few remaining in this area will also be at risk of displacement etc. When the ecology/wildlife survey was done for Goslings Turning prior to planning permission, slow worms and bats were found and, as protected species, had to be accommodated. This survey also covered the piece of land for which planning permission is now being sought, slow worms and bats were reported as being present on the land in question at that time, yet there does not seem to have been any recent official ecology/wildlife survey completed in conjunction with this planning application? This company state they are all about wildlife etc, yet flattened the land, destroyed trees, shrubs and under growth, erected fencing around the perimeter, as close as they could against the edge of the Brook along the North boundary, preventing larger wildlife access to land and closing down the wildlife corridor which is supposed to be in place along this North side boundary, despite planning permission not even having been applied for at that stage, let alone granted. This does not seem to us, to be the behaviour of a company who cares about nature and doesn't give us any faith in their statements about how they care for wildlife and the ecology of the area and how they are going help it. Appearance and noise

		The site is in an area that currently has a rural feel to it. Goslings Turning is a very quiet, peaceful and picturesque setting, the houses were built in keeping with the windmill and sit in a conservation area. This care community will be out of keeping with the surrounding area due to the sheer size and nature of it. It will take away from the area the feeling of peace and quiet and bring with it noise and disruption night and day to local residents seeking the quieter life. The construction of the care community will bring unbearable noise during the day when many residents may be working from home, sleeping after a night shift, trying to enjoy their gardens etc, as well as a huge amount of dust, dirt and grime which can affect health (particularly those with certain health related conditions) as well as local houses, cars etc being constantly covered in it, leaving residents close by unable to sit in their gardens during the day or hang washing out to dry as it will become covered in dirt. All of which will, in turn, have a detrimental impact on the health and mental well being of those living close by. We have a small extra piece of land to the side of our house. We considered the loss of natural habitat in the immediate area, due to the building works and have worked very hard on turning this into a beautiful little wildlife garden, making it as biodiverse as possible, to give back just a tiny little bit of what the area had lost. Why should it only be down to us, the residents to make these changes? EBC is currently carrying out a bio diversity consultation and have many policies in place, supposedly, to protect the environment, ecosystems and wildlife. Why can't the council also do their bit and help protect these small pockets of green throughout our communities to give our ecosystem and wildlife a chance to bounce back and flourish and to help counter balance, in some small way, all the damage caused by over development of the surrounding areas? These small green spaces give so much back to the local communities by way of improving mental health and general well being, encouraging people to enjoy where they live and take pride in, and be proud of it. If councils don't do their bit to help protect these green spaces, Bursledon will become all concrete and there will no green spaces left. If a care home is needed (which we are unsure if it is as a care home is already being built nearby in West End, along with a further care home which is already there) then surely it should be a more affordable provision, not this monstrosity of a home that boasts top end care which a lot of local people probably wouldn't be able to afford anyway. Local care homes are and have been closed and/or demolished. Why has this been allowed to happen if there is such a need for local care? I feel this care community is far too big for the area of land available. Colten Care have also recently advertised for care staff within the Eastleigh area, suggesting that they do have other local homes or are assuming that this application is a done deal? Noise after construction A care home will considerably increase noise pollution, given a potential 100 residents plus staff, deliveries in and out 24/7, some of which will be early morning and visitors coming and going throughout the day and night, a laundry service operating round the clock, plus entertainment events etc. The bin collection point is close to Goslings Turning which will cause additional noise and odours. Traffic Colten Care are selling this development as a better option than houses as there will be less traffic. We very strongly disagree. Bursledon roundabout is very busy at all times, but during rush hour and/or if there is an accident on the motorway, it comes to a
--	--	---

		complete standstill as motorway traffic diverts along the A27 up Providence Hill to avoid the motorway congestion. This already makes it incredibly hard for local residents to pull out onto the road. Added to this, the M27 road works are also pushing more traffic onto Providence Hill and this is set to continue for 2 years meaning traffic is often at a standstill, even outside of rush hour. Adding construction traffic in and out of the site to this extra congestion will only exacerbate the situation. This level of traffic and pollution is already damaging to local residents health and well being and should be looked at for ways of improvement as much as possible, not increased yet further by adding to the volume of traffic with more cars and construction traffic. The added volume of traffic generated by a care community of this size would be considerable and include; large number of core staff, residents family visitors, professional visitors such as doctors, physios, nurses, hair dressers, cleaners, cooks, catering staff, social workers, maintenance staff, supply deliveries (large lorries), individual resident deliveries, support workers, agency workers, admin staff, care assistants, care navigator etc. This traffic will be moving in and out of the site using Providence Hill day and night, seven days a week. Added to this extra traffic, the proposed parking spaces will be nowhere near enough for all staff (an average care home would normally have a recommended staffing ratio of 1:7 for core staff). On this basis, a care community which could potentially house 100 residents if some choose to live as a couple, 14 core care staff would be the minimum required. Given Colten Care boasts and prides itself on high level care, you would normally expect staffing ratios of core staff alone to be higher, plus all the other staff as listed above) and visitors, meaning cars will inevitably end up being parked roadside on Providence hill, posing more risks and issues on an already over used and extremely busy road, and at the top of Goslings Turning, which is a private road, maintained at a cost to the residents of Goslings Turning. The Goslings Turning footpath is also maintained at a cost to Goslings Turning residents. While the road and footpath are accessible by pedestrians, it is not a circular public walking route as stated in the application, it is a dead end with no accessible through route to the woods or Bursledon windmill. As such, we feel this statement should not be used by Colten Care as a positive selling point for this application. A high increase in footfall would also increase maintenance costs to Goslings Turning residents. There are no appropriate public walking routes directly from the site where the community will be situated in our opinion, as Providence Hill is a busy, noisy main road making it inappropriate for the elderly with 'sensory, cognitive and physical impairments' that are described in the application or, those with health conditions that could be affected by the traffic pollution. Appearance and loss of privacy Reference is made in the proposals that from Providence Hill the building will appear smaller as its cut into the sloping ground but no reference is made that from the North side (GoslingsTurning) all 4 stories will be visible. This will completely change the view from Goslings Turning and we feel our privacy will be lost as the proposal shows a building with a roof height in line with ours, and 2 planned roof terraces on the upper floors, which, we believe, will directly overlook our garden. We also have side windows into our lounge and kitchen, with the height of this development on the Goslings Turning side and the close proximity to our property, the care home residents would have a clear view straight into these rooms, taking away a considerable amount of our privacy. I suspect the developers are aware of this as there are no artists
--	--	--

		impression photos from the Goslings Turing side. Reference is also made in the proposal that a reduced number of windows will face 4 Brookfield, but from the Goslings side all bedrooms facing us have a window or door out, which will increase the noise levels and again look over our property, reducing our privacy. We are also concerned that the size and nature of the development will devalue our house due to the increased noise and significant loss and change to the outlook from our property. Drainage Where will surface water go? A tree recently fell across the Goslings Turning road due to the amount of rain and water running into the brook at the bottom of the field causing a slide of the bank. The field for the proposed build is like a lake when there is a lot of rain, and with climate change, rain fall will likely increase over years to come. The trees and vegetation along the brook (most of which do have a TPO) should be protected, not put at more risk of falling down. Transport Colten Care are using good local public transport links as a positive selling point for this application. However, the public transport services in and around Bursledon are extremely limited. Our elderly mother spends a lot of time with us and has, herself, found it nigh on impossible to get any where by bus in Southampton from this location. The bus timetable is extremely limited in frequency and destinations are limited. The train station is a considerable distance away for walking. None of this is good for a care community resident and we feel Colten Care are wrongly using this as a positive selling point. Local health care services Lowford GP surgery was recently closed and services merged with the Blackthorn surgery in Hamble. The bus service to Hamble is practically non existent, making it extremely difficult to get there using public transport and even more difficult when you factor in tying in an appointment time with an infrequent bus timetable. The surgery at Hamble and other local surgeries were already struggling before this closure and the problem was only further exacerbated by it. Added to this, are the pressures of an increased local population as a result of recent over development in local areas. With no extra funding or GP provision, it feels that local health care services are already at breaking point. This care community will put even further strain on these local surgeries and health services due to adding, potentially, up to 100 extra patients and will inevitably impact on the already inadequate services provided to local residents. Cottages These are 2 bedroom, we are concerned as to how these will be used as they could potentially house families, which will therefore further increase the number of people living on the site, and as such further exasperates our concerns detailed above.
John White	2 BROOKFIELD PROVIDENCE HILL BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8AU	Dear Rebecca, Although we have no objection in principle to the building of a care home on the land adjacent to 4 Brookfield, Providence Hill, and in fact recognise the need for a care home in Bursledon, we do have some concerns about the plans in their current form for the following two reasons: 1) The height of the proposed care home. Both the Urban and Landscape Design Team and Landscape Officer make reference to concerns about the scale and mass of

		the proposed care home building and we would concur with this as the plans showing the proposed elevations appear to show that the building will be higher than the current homes 1-4 Brookfield. This, coupled with its proximity to the properties, means that we do have some concerns that our properties could be detrimentally impacted. There appear to be no plans to show how the building will affect the light into the gardens of our properties or how our gardens will be overlooked. 2) The loss of parking for numbers 1 and 2 Brookfield. The current plans show that the existing four parking spaces adjacent to number 4 Brookfield will be retained. These are currently occupied by vehicles belonging to numbers 1 and 2 Brookfield, with permission of the current land owner (which we are very grateful for) and we understand that this will continue by way of licence until the care home is opened, at which point the licence will lapse and there will be nowhere for these four vehicles to park off of the highway. Historically, these parking spaces have been used by the properties at 1 and 2 Brookfield for a significant number of years and without the retention of this parking, the four vehicles will be forced to try and park on the already crowded, 'first come, first served' layby at the front of our properties or on the grass verge. With children and elderly people residing at our properties we do have concerns about the safety of having to park on the very busy and fast highway. Although we understand from experience this is not strictly a 'planning matter', we believe this to be a material matter that will have consequences for the highways and neighboring properties and is one that we have always felt could be satisfactorily addressed to negate future problems if all parties (including EBC and HCC highways) could be willing to work together. Whilst we would like our comments to be considered, we do also wish to note on record how grateful we are for Colten Homes having been so approachable and do want to make it clear that we very much want to work with them and all parties to come to a satisfactory outcome for everyone and do believe that this can be achieved. Yours faithfully, Mr and Mrs White
Theresa White	1 BROOKFIELD PROVIDENCE HILL BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8AU	Dear Rebecca, Although we have no objection in principle to the building of a care home on the land adjacent to 4 Brookfield, Providence Hill, and in fact recognise the need for a care home in Bursledon, we do have some concerns about the plans in their current form for the following two reasons: 1) The height of the proposed care home. Both the Urban and Landscape Design Team and Landscape Officer make reference to concerns about the scale and mass of the proposed care home building and we would concur with this as the plans showing the proposed elevations appear to show that the building will be higher than the current homes 1-4 Brookfield. This, coupled with its proximity to the properties, means that we do have some concerns that our properties could be detrimentally impacted. There appear to be no plans to show how the building will affect the light into the gardens of our properties or how our gardens will be overlooked. 2) The loss of parking for numbers 1 and 2 Brookfield. The current plans show that the existing four parking spaces adjacent to number 4 Brookfield will be retained. These are currently occupied by vehicles belonging to numbers 1 and 2 Brookfield, with permission of the current land owner (which we

		are very grateful for) and we understand that this will continue by way of licence until the care home is opened, at which point the licence will lapse and there will be nowhere for these four vehicles to park off of the highway. Historically, these parking spaces have been used by the properties at 1 and 2 Brookfield for a significant number of years and without the retention of this parking, the four vehicles will be forced to try and park on the already crowded, 'first come, first served' layby at the front of our properties or on the grass verge. With children and elderly people residing at our properties we do have concerns about the safety of having to park on the very busy and fast highway. Although we understand from experience this is not strictly a 'planning matter', we believe this to be a material matter that will have consequences for the highways and neighboring properties and is one that we have always felt could be satisfactorily addressed to negate future problems if all parties (including EBC and HCC highways) could be willing to work together. Whilst we would like our comments to be considered, we do also wish to note on record how grateful we are for Colten Homes having been so approachable and do want to make it clear that we very much want to work with them and all parties to come to a satisfactory outcome for everyone and do believe that this can be achieved. Yours faithfully, Mrs Theresa White and Miss Charlotte Ralph
Alexander Brogan	11 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	This application is completely inappropriate and I entirely object. The planning statement has been very carefully crafted to charm councillors and decision makers, but I implore them to consider the concerns of us residents. My concerns are as follows: - The proposed access way alongside Providence Hill is precarious. Providence Hill itself regularly hosts speeders in the quieter hours, and bumper-to-bumper congestion during rush hour. I fear the proposed access way will only encourage accidents. - There are already parking issues all along Providence Hill, with many parking alongside banks/grass verges which is not intended for parking. The application has a lack of parking provision for the 70+ bedroom site - there is simply not enough space to allow for the occupants, their families, care-givers, deliveries et al. I suspect there will be severe overspill alongside Providence Hill and/or into the private Goslings development. - The land is entirely inappropriate for a build of such scale. It is a small, green, triangular space with various levels, inclines and drops. It really is beggars belief that the applicants feel it is suitable for a large, expansive care home. Multiple applications have been made for development of this patch of land, which has ultimately been withdrawn or has failed. Why can't it just be left to be enjoyed as one of the few remaining green spaces? - I question the need for another care home, given that there is an active construction less than 1 mile away in West End. The applicants have failed to present the need - where are the documents which confirm they, and others in the area are at capacity with a long waiting list? Have they considered the nearby construction? - Construction will have unacceptable consequences for nearby residents at both Brookfield and Goslings Turning. The low

		topography of the site mean that fumes and noise will envelope the surrounding areas, causing constant nuisance and menace to all. The Goslings is already subject to the usual noise disturbances from having a busy road nearby. Imagine having a 24/7 care home operation yards from your own doorstep! - Loss of privacy to the residents of The Goslings. The proposals include a 4-storey structure with roof terraces for residents - 70+ bedrooms windows peering in over into The Goslings estate like a viewing platform for animals. I also echo other objections. Come on EBC - this is most definitely one that needs to be refused on all counts.
Lisa Barnett	AVONDALE PROVIDENCE HILL BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8AT	We strongly object to this planning application. The A27 (and Providence Hill in particular) is already incredibly busy, and the new development will only add to this. When the traffic isn't as bad, cars go far too fast down this road, along with cars parking on the pathway by the site, adding in another stream will be a cause of more accidents on the road. From an environmental point of view the site also provides a much needed natural habitat for lots of wildlife and would mean an increase in pollution to the surrounding area and homes.
Gregory Pile	9 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	This development will introduce far too many extra people and vehicles for our already congested area. Also the 4 story high design will mean many of us will be overlooked. The whole idea is not appropriate for this space, and not every inch of land needs to be built on, there is precise little wild areas around us as it is.
Alexander Nacke	11 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	I strongly OBJECT to these plans. All our local green spaces are disappearing one by one. Nobody actually wants houses, care homes or any other hideous construction to go up on that very small pocket of land. Locally people are sick to death of the constant development and profiteering disguised as "good deeds" to the community. Furthermore the huge care home is totally out of keeping with the area around the windmill. The building is unnecessarily large for the plot of land will be towering over all the other buildings due to its four storeys causing distress and impinging on the privacy of all the already established homes around the site. The whole local area around Bursledon has already seen so much construction in recent years. There is already no less than 20 care homes within 15 minutes drive of the site. Is it really necessary to build another? Instead of their constant profiteering perhaps Colton Care could give back by turning the area into a space everyone can enjoy, by planting more wildlife friendly trees and shrubs, wildflower meadows for wildlife, for the local flora and fauna to retreat to (god knows they have nowhere else to go) , or perhaps create a space where people can walk their dogs or come together for a picnic in nature and enjoy some R&R? Looking at the attached transport statement, the amount of parking actually supplied will only be (34) spaces, and this falls far short of the recommendations (54). Where will the additional 20 cars park when the site is at capacity? There is no room to park on Providence Hill, and there certainly is no space to park on neighbouring public as well as Private Roads. So where will they park??? The additional traffic, delays and noise that will be caused by staff, visitors, ambulances doing turning movements, idling for pickup and delivery of goods and people will be unbearable. No doubt there will be multiple vehicle movements from HGV's delivering and collecting Laundry, Food deliveries, waste

		collections not to mention the daily rattling of metal cages being pushed around from the loading and unloading of said Lorries for laundry deliveries, hygiene product deliveries etc as well as beeping from all the turning manoeuvres that will be required for such a small car park. On most days the traffic already cannot cope, especially going up Providence Hill. Have any of those proposing the plans actually ever walked up and down providence hill? The crawling traffic after 3pm, the constant diversions from the M27 to bypass road works or accidents. The air is toxic and full of exhaust fumes from the traffic already. Hardly a suitable environment for those frail needing constant care to live out their final years is it? I really urge the councillors and decision makers to understand how unnecessary this whole proposal is and vote to cancel these proposals in favour of the local people who will all be so negatively affected by these plans.
Tracy McEwen	27 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	I live opposite the site of where the care home and dwellings would be built. The care home will be four stories high and the majority of the bedroom windows and doors facing my house, and with roof terraces, allowing for residents and staff to congregate and will be able to see into our street and homes, we will have no right to privacy. The 73 bed and 5 x 2 dwellings, will increase traffic noise and pollution to the area, including staff and families also visiting. Ambulatory care will also be required at all hours which will increase vehicles. The care home will also have industrial bins which will be facing my road (Goslings Turning) which will increase smell and of course noise early hours of the morning, when the bins are emptied. Given the current owners took down all of the trees on the perimeter prior to this application, there is not enough mature plantation to capture the increasing noise levels or pollution. We will also have the dwellings windows overlooking my road and into our houses - we have right to privacy.
Peter McEwen	27 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	I live opposite the site of where the care home and dwellings would be built. The care home will be four stories high and the majority of the bedroom windows and doors facing my house, and with roof terraces, allowing for residents and staff to congregate and will be able to see into our street and homes, we will have no right to privacy. The 73 bed and 5 x 2 dwellings, will increase traffic noise and pollution to the area, including staff and families also visiting. Ambulatory care will also be required at all hours which will increase vehicles. The care home will also have industrial bins which will be facing my road (Goslings Turning) which will increase smell and of course noise early hours of the morning, when the bins are emptied. Given the current owners took down all of the trees on the perimeter prior to this application, there is not enough mature plantation to capture the increasing noise levels or pollution. We will also have the dwellings windows overlooking my road and into our houses - we have right to privacy.
Aidan Singh	3 BROOKFIELD PROVIDENCE HILL BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8AU	I am writing to formally object To the proposed development at the land adjoining 4 Brookfield. As a a resident directly in front of the proposed plans, I have concerns that the development will have serious impact on my home and the surrounding areas. 1. Environmental concerns: the development will lead to environmental concerns such as increased pollution, loss of green space, and impact on local wildlife. Where do we expect our local wildlife to go? This will not only degrade the quality of environment, but diminish the aesthetic and recreational value of our neighbourhood and property. Bursledon has already had too much green space taken away in previous years. 2. Traffic and safety: increased traffic resulting from the development will exasperate existing congestion, and

		pose a significant safety risk to children and elderly residents. My wife and I, park in the lay-by outside of our house, and already struggle to get out of the car, due to the vast amount of traffic on providence hill. This development will force other residents to park on providence hill, which is a huge risk to all. The roads in our area are already suffering and are not designed to handle the additional volume, leading to severe delays and accidents. Often water works or gas works take place on providence hill, and already throughout the year there have been temporary traffic lights causing extreme delays and disruption both ways. Our houses already shake when any HGV flies past. 3. Noise and disruption: construction activities will cause significant noise and disruption over an extended period, directly affecting mine and my neighbours property. There is no doubt that this will significantly impact my family's quality of life, Missing out on several summers due to extreme noise pollution. We are expecting a new born baby, and already are experiencing great levels of stress knowing our home will be severely impacted. 4. Property value: the proposed development, is likely to have an adverse affect on my properties value and make the neighbourhood less attractive to potential buyers. We have been misled to believe that our property will not lose value, however the plans suggest there will be a 4 story high building directly overlooking my home, which will affect mine and my neighbours privacy, and sunlight. For these reasons, I urge you to reconsider the approval of this development, I believe it is essential to prioritise the wellbeing and interests of the current residents and the long term sustainability of our community.
Shealey Edwards	32 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	We strongly oppose the proposal for the following reasons - Nature There has already been so much development along this stretch, which has taken nearly all the green land from this part of Bursledon, meaning wildlife has been pushed out more and more. This small field is one of the last remaining green spaces left for the already displaced wildlife. If this small area of green space also goes, there will only be the small woods at the windmill left for much of the wildlife. There have been 3 resident deer using this land, along with foxes, hedgehogs, slow worms etc, which will not be able to survive, let alone flourish, in just the small area of woodland at the windmill. Hedgehogs in particular, are now endangered, the few remaining in this area will also be at risk of displacement etc. When the ecology/wildlife survey was done for Goslings Turning prior to planning permission, slow worms and bats were found and, as protected species, had to be accommodated. This survey also covered the piece of land for which planning permission is now being sought, slow worms and bats were reported as being present on the land in question at that time, yet there does not seem to have been any recent official ecology/wildlife survey completed in conjunction with this planning application? This company state they are all about wildlife etc, yet flattened the land, destroyed trees, shrubs and under growth, erected fencing around the perimeter, as close as they could against the edge of the Brook along the North boundary, preventing larger wildlife access to land and closing down the wildlife corridor which is supposed to be in place along this North side boundary, despite planning permission not even having been applied for at that stage, let alone granted. This does not seem to us, to be the behaviour of a company who cares about nature and doesn't give us any faith in their statements about how they care for wildlife and the ecology of the area and how they are going help it.

		Appearance and noise The site is in an area that currently has a rural feel to it. Goslings Turning is a very quiet, peaceful and picturesque setting, the houses were built in keeping with the windmill and sit in a conservation area. This care community will be out of keeping with the surrounding area due to the sheer size and nature of it. It will take away from the area the feeling of peace and quiet and bring with it noise and disruption night and day to local residents seeking the quieter life. The construction of the care community will bring unbearable noise during the day when many residents may be working from home, sleeping after a night shift, trying to enjoy their gardens etc, as well as a huge amount of dust, dirt and grime which can affect health (particularly those with certain health related conditions) as well as local houses, cars etc being constantly covered in it, leaving residents close by unable to sit in their gardens during the day or hang washing out to dry as it will become covered in dirt. All of which will, in turn, have a detrimental impact on the health and mental well being of those living close by. We have a small extra piece of land to the side of our house. We considered the loss of natural habitat in the immediate area, due to the building works and have worked very hard on turning this into a beautiful little wildlife garden, making it as biodiverse as possible, to give back just a tiny little bit of what the area had lost. Why should it only be down to us, the residents to make these changes? EBC is currently carrying out a bio diversity consultation and have many policies in place, supposedly, to protect the environment, ecosystems and wildlife. Why can't the council also do their bit and help protect these small pockets of green throughout our communities to give our ecosystem and wildlife a chance to bounce back and flourish and to help counter balance, in some small way, all the damage caused by over development of the surrounding areas? These small green spaces give so much back to the local communities by way of improving mental health and general well being, encouraging people to enjoy where they live and take pride in, and be proud of it. If councils don't do their bit to help protect these green spaces, Bursledon will become all concrete and there will no green spaces left. If a care home is needed (which we are unsure if it is as a care home is already being built nearby in West End, along with a further care home which is already there) then surely it should be a more affordable provision, not this monstrosity of a home that boasts top end care which a lot of local people probably wouldn't be able to afford anyway. Local care homes are and have been closed and/or demolished. Why has this been allowed to happen if there is such a need for local care? I feel this care community is far too big for the area of land available. Colten Care have also recently advertised for care staff within the Eastleigh area, suggesting that they do have other local homes or are assuming that this application is a done deal? Noise after construction A care home will considerably increase noise pollution, given a potential 100 residents plus staff, deliveries in and out 24/7, some of which will be early morning and visitors coming and going throughout the day and night, a laundry service operating round the clock, plus entertainment events etc. The bin collection point is close to Goslings Turning which will cause additional noise and odours. Traffic Colten Care are selling this development as a better option than houses as there will be less traffic. We very strongly disagree.
--	--	--

		Bursledon roundabout is very busy at all times, but during rush hour and/or if there is an accident on the motorway, it comes to a complete standstill as motorway traffic diverts along the A27 up Providence Hill to avoid the motorway congestion. This already makes it incredibly hard for local residents to pull out onto the road. Added to this, the M27 road works are also pushing more traffic onto Providence Hill and this is set to continue for 2 years meaning traffic is often at a standstill, even outside of rush hour. Adding construction traffic in and out of the site to this extra congestion will only exacerbate the situation. This level of traffic and pollution is already damaging to local residents health and well being and should be looked at for ways of improvement as much as possible, not increased yet further by adding to the volume of traffic with more cars and construction traffic. The added volume of traffic generated by a care community of this size would be considerable and include; large number of core staff, residents family visitors, professional visitors such as doctors, physios, nurses, hair dressers, cleaners, cooks, catering staff, social workers, maintenance staff, supply deliveries (large lorries), individual resident deliveries, support workers, agency workers, admin staff, care assistants, care navigator etc. This traffic will be moving in and out of the site using Providence Hill day and night, seven days a week. Added to this extra traffic, the proposed parking spaces will be nowhere near enough for all staff (an average care home would normally have a recommended staffing ratio of 1:7 for core staff). On this basis, a care community which could potentially house 100 residents if some choose to live as a couple, 14 core care staff would be the minimum required. Given Colten Care boasts and prides itself on high level care, you would normally expect staffing ratios of core staff alone to be higher, plus all the other staff as listed above) and visitors, meaning cars will inevitably end up being parked roadside on Providence hill, posing more risks and issues on an already over used and extremely busy road, and at the top of Goslings Turning, which is a private road, maintained at a cost to the residents of Goslings Turning. The Goslings Turning footpath is also maintained at a cost to Goslings Turning residents. While the road and footpath are accessible by pedestrians, it is not a circular public walking route as stated in the application, it is a dead end with no accessible through route to the woods or Bursledon windmill. As such, we feel this statement should not be used by Colten Care as a positive selling point for this application. A high increase in footfall would also increase maintenance costs to Goslings Turning residents. There are no appropriate public walking routes directly from the site where the community will be situated in our opinion, as Providence Hill is a busy, noisy main road making it inappropriate for the elderly with 'sensory, cognitive and physical impairments' that are described in the application or, those with health conditions that could be affected by the traffic pollution. Appearance and loss of privacy Reference is made in the proposals that from Providence Hill the building will appear smaller as its cut into the sloping ground but no reference is made that from the North side (GoslingsTurning) all 4 stories will be visible. This will completely change the view from Goslings Turning and we feel our privacy will be lost as the proposal shows a building with a roof height in line with ours, and 2 planned roof terraces on the upper floors, which, we believe, will directly overlook our garden. We also have side windows into our lounge and kitchen, with the height of this development on the Goslings Turning side and the close proximity to our property, the care home residents would have a clear view straight into these
--	--	---

		rooms, taking away a considerable amount of our privacy. I suspect the developers are aware of this as there are no artists impression photos from the Goslings Turing side. Reference is also made in the proposal that a reduced number of windows will face 4 Brookfield, but from the Goslings side all bedrooms facing us have a window or door out, which will increase the noise levels and again look over our property, reducing our privacy. We are also concerned that the size and nature of the development will devalue our house due to the increased noise and significant loss and change to the outlook from our property. Drainage Where will surface water go? A tree recently fell across the Goslings Turning road due to the amount of rain and water running into the brook at the bottom of the field causing a slide of the bank. The field for the proposed build is like a lake when there is a lot of rain, and with climate change, rain fall will likely increase over years to come. The trees and vegetation along the brook (most of which do have a TPO) should be protected, not put at more risk of falling down. If these trees were to fall, then any small amount of cover they do provide for a few months of the year will be lost, leaving the Northern boundary completely open. Transport Colten Care are using good local public transport links as a positive selling point for this application. However, the public transport services in and around Bursledon are extremely limited. Our elderly mother spends a lot of time with us and has, herself, found it nigh on impossible to get anywhere by bus in Southampton from this location. The bus timetable is extremely limited in frequency and destinations are also limited. The train station is a considerable distance away for walking. None of this is good for a care community resident and we feel Colten Care are wrongly using this as a positive selling point. Local health care services Lowford GP surgery was recently closed and services merged with the Blackthorn surgery in Hamble. The bus service to Hamble is practically non-existent, making it extremely difficult to get there using public transport and even more difficult when you factor in tying in an appointment time with an infrequent bus timetable. The surgery at Hamble and other local surgeries were already struggling before this closure and the problem was only further exacerbated by it. Added to this, are the pressures of an increased local population as a result of recent over-development in local areas. With no extra funding or GP provision, it feels that local health care services are already at breaking point. This care community will put even further strain on these local surgeries and health services due to adding, potentially, up to 100 extra patients and will inevitably impact on the already inadequate services provided to local residents. Cottages These are 2 bedroom, we are concerned as to how these will be used as they could potentially house families, which will therefore further increase the number of people living on the site, and as such further exasperates our concerns detailed above.
Matthew Downing	12 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	We would like to object to this planning application. There has already been so much development along Providence Hill and this small stretch of land was one of the last remaining green spaces for wildlife. The applicant sought to flatten the land, displacing wildlife and fencing the boundary prior to submitting

		their application. A large care home with cottages, staff, deliveries, visitors etc will cause great impact on a quiet and peaceful community. The size of the building will affect neighbours' privacy and the constant noise will impact the wellbeing of residents. The A27 is continually congested not only at rush hour or as a result of accidents on the motorway. The Windhover Roundabout is already overly congested with the volume of traffic from Hamble, Netley, Bursledon and surrounding areas. This development would increase the volume of vehicles with endless deliveries, visitors, staff coming and going to/from site etc. We already suffer with a stream of large vehicles using the A27 particularly late at night and through the weekends. There appears to be limited parking for the proposed size of the care home and residents. Where would people park? - along Providence Hill, causing obstruction to traffic flow or Goslings Turning, which is a private road. It would be wonderful if this small piece of land could be returned to its original state, full of trees and wildlife.
Joan Allum	29 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	I refer to the above application for a 73 bed Care home (Colten Care Ltd) Plus 5 2 bed cottages. I believe I am adding to the list of emails disapproving of this application. The list is long with reasons not to approve this proposal. 1. The natural habitat will be severely compromised. 2 The traffic problem needs to be addressed before adding to the already congested area. 3.Drainage & sewer issues! Possible flooding. 4. Poor public transport links 5.Goslings Turning has been tastefully built by Foreman homes affording our properties acceptable privacy. This will be totally compromised by the proposed elevations of the care home! 6 Parking will be a huge problem. 7. Healthcare services (doctor practices) will be even more overloaded. It's already difficult to get an appointment. 8. Possible devaluation of Goslings Turning properties. 9.Waste management (the large heavy trucks) with the only access from the main road will be an issue.(Noise & accessibility issues) I have only just moved to Goslings Turning. I was attracted to the complex for its feeling of countryside & wonderful wildlife, Deer & other wonderful species visiting us here on Goslings Turning. Please preserve the natural habitat as much as possible.
John Dwyer	36 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	See comments in documents tab.
Bryn Jones	2 WINDMILL COTTAGES WINDMILL LANE BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8BG	As a resident of Windmill Lane, we have long suffered the impact before and after of local over development. During the construction of the Goslings, ingress and egress was often impossible for large periods of the day due to construction related traffic. There are several key workers in the lane who were often late for shifts as a result. Paul Holmes MP, recently acknowledged the issues regarding traffic congestion to the Hamble Lane, Providence Hill and Portsmouth Road triangle. Due to the private road status of the Goslings and the private parking

		signs that residents have erected, Windmill Lane is already used for visitors of the Goslings to park. This proposal will only worsen that problem and cause further parking problems as due to the limited parking in the plans would cause overspill parking in Windmill Lane and on the grass verges on Providence Hill. I believe that construction traffic and thereafter, staff, visitors and trade traffic for the home would have an adverse impact on the safety of the nearby public highway. Due to the constant over development locally, wildlife has been decimated. Unfortunately, as a local resident we have witnessed this firsthand. Promised wildlife corridors have not been put in place, enabled or maintained. The overriding issue is that wildlife does not need corridors, it needs a habitat. Unfortunately these habitats are constantly being paved over and built on. I do understand that many of these local construction sites were earmarked for housing many years ago, but current lack of infrastructure, increased traffic and irreparable damage to wildlife makes it common sense to reverse those decisions. The scale of this development would cause a loss of privacy to those in the Goslings and the rear of the property is on Providence Hill that this building would sit behind. The appearance of this building would be totally out of character with other buildings and dwellings between the A27 and the Windmill conservation area.
Louise Brown	4 LAUREL VILLAS PROVIDENCE HILL BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8AT	Objection I have reviewed the application to build a 73 bed care home and 5 care cottages on this small site and have deep concerns. I whole heartedly agree with the objections already put forward and feel I must make a contribution. Traffic and inadequate parking Having lived on the A27 for 28 years I have seen a dramatic increase in traffic congestion, noise, pollution and dangerous issues arising from the traffic generated by the over developed building that has taken place in this area and neighbouring localities. Parking is already causing problems where people are parking on the grass verges that run alongside Providence Hill as access to flats and private estate parking is restricted. I feel the parking allocation for this care home is vastly inadequate and fear that they will ultimately need to extend it into the so called landscaped areas. Over development of Providence Hill In Providence Hill alone we have endured the building of extensive flats in the place of 3 family houses and 1 demolished care home (and now we want to build another one!). Also we have seen the arrival of the development of Goslings Turning and the ever increasing volume of Oakley Vale, all within the space of half a mile! And now this, a 73 bed care home and 5 cottages proposing to eradicate our last remaining patch of green way. Inappropriate building proposal The size of this proposed building is overwhelming. I do not think any consideration has been given to the outlook, noise levels and privacy for neighbours in Providence Hill or residents in Goslings Turning. This building will be completely out of character to the surrounding areas of natural beauty and I feel this site will harm the character and appearance of the Bursledon Windmill Conservation Area and listed buildings, including the grade II Bursledon Windmill. Recent years change of status affecting our Settlement Boundary and countryside I am dismayed that the old settlement boundary that ran down the entirety of Province Hill not so long ago has, within the last 10 years, been shifted to the M27 to incorporate these lands and

		adjoining fields (now Oakley Vale and Goslings Turning). These lands were once designated as countryside and within the local gap separating Bursledon from Hedge End. We and the wildlife in our surroundings rely on our councillors to act as responsible custodians for our countryside and green spaces, as who else will? Once this is gone it's gone forever and I urge council to consider restoring the protections upon this area and adopting it for all to enjoy, and give something back to the community that has lost so much.
Emma Church	14 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	I am a resident of the development at Goslings Turning adjacent to the proposed construction site. After having looked at the proposed project, I am certain that it will have a negative impact on the surrounding area and community. The access road ie. The A27 is already severely congested during periods of the day and when the M27 is closed. A property of the size planned will cause even more congestion and add to the noise pollution of the area. Bursledon was known for having lovely trees and associated wildlife. This construction will destroy the habitat for many wild birds and small animals. The existing trees need to be protected not butchered. The sewerage system in the area is already overwhelmed and this development would completely overload it. The pump system on our development has struggled since the construction of our development. The view from our development is currently aesthetically pleasing with the trees and green space but will be made change completely for the worse when we look out onto a 4 story building and additional buildings. The pedestrians will use our access paths increasing foot traffic in our development. There will also be people parking on our access road using up already limited parking spaces. To conclude, the proposed development is not a good idea and I object in the strongest possible way to it.
Flynn Dwyer	36 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	I object to these plans due to the local residents disaffected by the development and ongoing noise and invasion of privacy.
Nicholas Drew	2 LAUREL VILLAS PROVIDENCE HILL BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8AT	Your Highways colleagues are reducing the speed limit on the bottom part of Providence Hill from 40mph to 30mph, but NOT alongside this development. It stays at 40mph. This will make access/egress from the site difficult and will increase noise levels alongside the development. It is clearly ludicrous not to make the whole of Providence Hill 30mph. I suggest you speak to your Highways colleagues. I have already petitioned them for the whole of Providence Hill to be 30mph.
Vanessa Sayani	8 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	Dear Ms. Altman, As a resident of Gosling's Turning, my family and I object to the development of a 69-bed care home as well as a 5x2-bedroom close care cottages. Please see below for our rationale for our objection; 1. Providence Hill road traffic: Based on the current population of the surrounding area of this road, the traffic congestion is already an issue. To add the care home workforce and its visitors would

		only further exacerbate the current issue. 2. Environmental impact: The pollution from the car fumes when stationary is already an issue on Providence Hill road so to add the workforce and the visitors of a 69-bed care home and a 5x2-bedroom close care cottages would to the detriment of the current residents as the air quality will reduce even further, especially where we reside at Goslings Turning as the suggested development plan is right in front of our estate. 2a. The Greenery: The greenery that separates us from the main road will vastly be reduced as a result of the proposed development. 2b. Noise pollution: As the proposed development will be adjacent Goslings Turning, our estate will be impacted with noise pollution, whether it be the constant daily churn of cars moving in and out of the car park, along with the staff on their breaks outside behind the building, and if the proposed development was at full capacity the 79 residents would naturally increase the noise in our surrounding area. 2c. Light pollution: This is particularly relevant at night, with a building of 69-beds, 5 cottages, and its own car park, this would impact many residents at Goslings Turning as our properties face the main road. Based on my rationale above, I do hope you'll agree that a 79-bed care home would be to the detriment of the current residents regarding traffic, car pollution, noise as well as light pollution. The environmental impact would simply be too great for the residents of Goslings Turning as well as the surrounding areas. I look forward to hearing from you on this, All the best, Vanessa
Jeffery Mannion	MERRY ROOST BRIDGE ROAD BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8AL	I completely object to this application on the grounds that it is too large. It will create even more traffic on this already busy road and very congested area. The location is very close to a large busy roundabout that has been due to be improved as it is a traffic bottleneck created by vehicles leaving and joining the M27 at J8 but to date not carried out. This is not the place to put a care home, as stated before, put it in the old Bursledon Hospital grounds as it is far more suitable for a residential care home. This area of Bursledon including Hamble Lane has been overbuilt already. Please do not allow construction of this size and nature.
John White	2 BROOKFIELD PROVIDENCE HILL BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8AU	Dear Rebecca, Thank you for taking the time to meet with us on Friday 2nd August. As discussed at our meeting, we wish to formally object to the above application on the following grounds: 1. Overlooking/loss of privacy, visually intrusive Although the applicant has made some amendments to the initial application, resulting in the very modest reduction of 4 bedrooms, they have failed to address the concerns that we raised in our initial objection. The footprint of the building and overall height has not changed. As we pointed out to you at our meeting, the only image that the applicant has actually provided to show the impact of the care home from the rear of the Brookfield properties is extremely misleading. Firstly, the applicant has only provided one image which is shown from the top end of the rear garden of number 1 Brookfield. The applicant has failed to provide any further images to show the impact from various points in the rear garden of number 1 Brookfield and has failed to provide any from

		numbers 2, 3 or 4. Furthermore, if you take the height of the existing fir tree (behind the superimposed image of the care home in the document titled 'Visual from rear of 1 Brookfield') as a point of reference, you will note that the height of the care home is shown as being far lower than this fir tree. However, having had the fir tree measured, it is approximately 10 metres high. However, the base of the fir tree is approximately 3 metres below the ground floor level of numbers 1 and 2 Brookfield. The roof line of these properties has been measured to be approximately 9m from the ground level. The 'Site Section' drawings, show the height of the care home being approximately level with the roofs of numbers 1 and 2 Brookfield (which are shown on the site section drawings as 9 metres high). However, the 'Proposed Street Scene and Sections' shows the care home being approximately 1 metre above the roofs of numbers 1 and 2 Brookfield. This means that the 'visual from the rear of 1 Brookfield' incorrectly shows the height of the care home being approximately 3-4 metres lower than it actually will be. Also, the image provided does not show how the care home will wrap around the rear gardens of the Brookfield properties, almost in an L shape, thus reducing further natural daylight into our gardens. We have sought professional assistance to have images professionally produced to correctly illustrate the significant loss of privacy and natural daylight from various points of view and these will give a far more realistic impression of the detrimental impact the care home will have on our properties. These images will be provided to you as evidence in support of our objection as soon as we are in receipt of them. Also at our meeting, you informed us that the applicant would plant trees behind our rear gardens to act as a screen. We expressed our concerns that any trees planted would take a significant amount of years to grow to a height where they could provide any real effective screen. Also, we expressed our concerns regarding any potential subsidence that could be caused to the patio areas of numbers 1 and 2 Brookfield by the roots of any such trees that are planted. 2. Inadequate parking, highway safety and traffic impact The amended plans provided by the applicant appear to have been altered in any way to address the issues raised by residents and the Highways Officer. The Transport Statement provided by the applicant appears very misleading. The applicant states on page 6??, "Implementation of this development may result in the modest displacement of up to 4 vehicles from the site into the adjacent layby on Providence Hill." We have objection to the use of the word 'may' and feel this is misleading as it should say 'will'. If the application is granted in its current form, then 4 vehicles will be displaced. Secondly, the word 'modest' is again misleading. Modest compared to what? The current layby, although used by has capacity for 4 vehicles and has no spare capacity. In real terms, the displacement caused by the care home will result in a 100% increase in vehicles trying to use the layby. When the layby is full, which it very often is, there will be at least another 4 vehicles trying to park on Providence Hill. Not only has the applicant not appeared to have sought any alternatives to address this problem (various options were suggested by the Highways Officer in his letter dated 18th June 2024) but the applicant goes on to say that they are willing to contribute to a TRO to introduce parking restrictions, in the form of double yellow lines, on Providence Hill. This means that the displaced vehicles will have nowhere to park, but on the opposite side of the highway which will have a detrimental impact on highway safety and traffic flow. The Highways Officer, in his letter dated 18th June 2024, has suggested that more spaces could be built on the site to address this problem and we would concur with this recommendation as there appears to be ample space on the site to achieve this. It is worth noting that if the proposed 5 dwellings were not part of the development, then there would be no problem with parking
--	--	--

		spaces at all. Furthermore, we believe there is still much confusion about the parking ratios and we tried to seek clarity at our meeting. At our meeting, you said you were unable to confirm what would be an acceptable number of parking spaces for this application and you referred us to the EBC parking standards document. In paragraph 4.24 on page 23 of the Transport Statement, when addressing parking ratios, the applicant suggests that a 'precedent' has been set by EBC in the granting of a 70 bed care home at Moorhill (ref F/22/94034) with a total of 23 spaces at a ratio of 0.328. When asked, you confirmed that a precedent had not in fact been set. However, based on what the applicant stated they are suggesting that they are in fact providing 29 spaces at a ratio of 0.420. This would mean that this application is offering 6 more spaces than the Moorhill development. However, on page 5 of the Transport Statement, the applicant says the level of car parking spaces is still 3 less than EBC guidance. It would be extremely helpful if there could be some clarity afforded on this matter. In summary, it appears clear that the site is a very challenging one by way of a number of reasons which include: the site topography, sewerage/drainage issues (the neighbouring Goslings Turning development has suffered extensively with this since its build), TPOs, retention of Windmill view, vehicle access and parking issues. As such we feel that the site is wholly unsuitable for a development of this magnitude which feels like it is trying to be 'shoe horned' in without any thought of the impact that it will have on its neighbours. Yours sincerely, John White On behalf of residents of numbers 1 and 2 Brookfield
Lisa Barnett	AVONDALE PROVIDENCE HILL BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8AT	I would like to formally extend my objection after reviewing Mr. White's detailed and logical comments following his meeting with the applicant. The parking situation presents a significant cause for concern. If vehicles unable to park in the already crowded layby are forced to park on the opposite side of the carriageway, it would exacerbate an already difficult situation. We currently face considerable challenges when attempting to exit our homes and merge onto the A27 due to the high volume of traffic and the speed at which vehicles travel on this road. The presence of parked cars along the curb or roadside would further reduce visibility, increasing the likelihood of accidents and causing severe traffic congestion. I strongly urge that the parking issue be thoroughly addressed and reconsidered within the scope of these plans, as it appears to have been overlooked.
David Edwards	32 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	I strongly oppose the proposal for the following reasons – Traffic Colten Care are selling this development as a better option than houses as there will be less traffic. I very strongly disagree. Bursledon roundabout is very busy at all times, but during rush hour and/or if there is an accident on the motorway, it comes to a complete standstill as motorway traffic diverts along the A27 up Providence Hill to avoid the motorway congestion. This already makes it incredibly hard for local residents to pull out onto the road. Added to this, the M27 road works are also pushing more traffic onto Providence Hill and this is set to continue for 2 years meaning traffic is often at a standstill, even outside of rush hour. Adding construction traffic in and out of the site to this extra congestion will only exacerbate the situation. This level of traffic and pollution is already damaging to local residents health and well being and should be looked at for ways of improvement as

		much as possible, not increased yet further by adding to the volume of traffic with more cars and construction traffic. The Goslings Turning footpath is also maintained at a cost to Goslings Turning residents. While the road and footpath are accessible by pedestrians, it is not a circular public walking route as stated in the application, it is a dead end with no accessible through route to the woods or Bursledon windmill. As such, we feel this statement should not be used by Colten Care as a positive selling point for this application. A high increase in footfall would also increase maintenance costs to Goslings Turning residents. There are no appropriate public walking routes directly from the site where the community will be situated in our opinion, as Providence Hill is a busy, noisy main road making it inappropriate for the elderly with 'sensory, cognitive and physical impairments' that are described in the application or, those with health conditions that could be affected by the traffic pollution. Staff and parking I note in the revised plans the staff levels have been reduced to just 20, which seems very low for a development of this size. I presume this does not include part time and bank staff, including other staff such as kitchen and laundry staff. The proposed number of parking spaces really doesn't feel sufficient spaces for the number of staff (it feels like the figure of 20 staff has been quoted to fit the number of spaces) and visitors, meaning cars will inevitably end up being parked roadside on Providence hill, posing more risks and issues on an already over used and extremely busy road, and at the top of Goslings Turning, which is a private road, maintained at a cost to the residents of Goslings Turning. I am also aware from other homes that Colten use minibuses, which will add to the lack of car parking spaces. Finally, I believe the cottages will be going on the open market which will need allocated parking for 2 bed residences, so again causing parking issues. Nature There has already been so much development along this stretch, which has taken nearly all the green land from this part of Bursledon, meaning wildlife has been pushed out more and more. This small field is one of the last remaining green spaces left for the already displaced wildlife. If this small area of green space also goes, there will only be the small woods at the windmill left for much of the wildlife. There have been 3 resident deer using this land, along with foxes, hedgehogs, slow worms etc, which will not be able to survive, let alone flourish, in just the small area of woodland at the windmill. Appearance and noise The site is in an area that currently has a rural feel to it. Goslings Turning is a very quiet, peaceful and picturesque setting, the houses were built in keeping with the windmill and sit in a conservation area. This care community will be out of keeping with the surrounding area due to the sheer size and nature of it. Indeed, I believe it will be the only 4 storey building in Bursledon. It will take away from the area the feeling of peace and quiet and bring with it noise and disruption night and day to local residents seeking the quieter life. The construction of the care community will bring unbearable noise during the day when many residents may be working from home, including myself, trying to enjoy their gardens etc, as well as a huge amount of dust, dirt and grime which can affect health (particularly those with certain health related conditions) as well as local houses, cars etc being constantly covered in it.
--	--	--

		If a care home is needed (which we are unsure if it is as a care home is already being built nearby in West End, along with a further care home which is already there) then surely it should be a more affordable provision, not this monstrosity of a home that boasts top end care which a lot of local people probably wouldn't be able to afford anyway. Local care homes are and have been closed and/or demolished, including one in Bursledon. I feel this care community is far too big for the area of land available. Noise after construction A care home will considerably increase noise pollution, given a potential 100 residents plus staff, deliveries in and out 24/7, some of which will be early morning and visitors coming and going throughout the day and night, a laundry service operating round the clock, plus entertainment events etc. The bin collection point is close to Goslings Turning which will cause additional noise and odours. Appearance and loss of privacy Reference is made in the proposals that from Providence Hill the building will appear smaller as its cut into the sloping ground but no reference is made that from the North side (GoslingsTurning) all 4 stories will be visible. This will completely change the view from Goslings Turning and we feel our privacy will be lost as the proposal shows a building with a roof height in line with ours, and 2 planned roof terraces on the upper floors, which, we believe, will directly overlook our garden (all be it that the latest proposals have 5 foot privacy screening for the roof terraces, but at 5 foot it will still be possible to see over this). We also have side windows into our lounge and kitchen, with the height of this development on the Goslings Turning side and the close proximity to our property, the care home residents would have a clear view straight into these rooms, taking away a considerable amount of our privacy. I suspect the developers are aware of this as there are no artists impression photos from the Goslings Turing side. Reference is also made in the proposal that a reduced number of windows will face 4 Brookfield, but from the Goslings side all bedrooms facing us have a window or door out, which will increase the noise levels and again look over our property, reducing our privacy. We are also concerned that the size and nature of the development will devalue our house due to the increased noise and significant loss and change to the outlook from our property. Drainage Where will surface water go? A tree recently fell across the Goslings Turning road due to the amount of rain and water running into the brook at the bottom of the field causing a slide of the bank. The field for the proposed build is like a lake when there is a lot of rain, and with climate change, rain fall will likely increase over years to come. The trees and vegetation along the brook (most of which do have a TPO) should be protected, not put at more risk of falling down. If these trees were to fall, then any small amount of cover they do provide for a few months of the year will be lost, leaving the Northern boundary completely open. Transport Colten Care are using good local public transport links as a positive selling point for this application. However, the public transport services in and around Bursledon are extremely limited. The bus timetable is extremely limited in frequency and destinations are also limited. The train station is a considerable distance away for walking. None of this is good for a care community resident and we feel Colten Care are wrongly using this as a positive selling point.
--	--	--

Sonya Edwards	32 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	Objection in addition to my original objection following revised plans. Staff and parking I note in the revised plans the staff levels have been reduced to just 20, which seems very low for a development of this size. I presume this is full time core staff only and does not include part time staff, agency staff (for whom public transport is not normally visible), bank staff, kitchen staff, laundry staff, office and admin staff, maintenance staff, medical staff (nurse), cleaning staff etc. I feel that the figures for staffing have been changed and manipulated to fit with available parking spaces on the original plans rather than increased to meet the amount actually needed. I cannot see how a care home of this size would be able to meet basic care needs of in excess of 69 residents, satisfactorily, while also providing food and other services as mentioned above, let alone provide care to the extremely high standards Colten Care say they do. The number of parking spaces really doesn't feel sufficient to meet the needs relevant to the number of staff and visitors, meaning cars will still inevitably end up being parked roadside on Providence hill, posing more risks and issues on an already over used and extremely busy road, and at the top of Goslings Turning, which is a private road, maintained at a cost to the residents of Goslings Turning. I am also aware from other Colten Care homes that Colten use minibuses, which will add to the lack of car parking spaces. Finally, I believe the cottages will eventually become available to the open market which will then need the recommended allocated parking for 2 bed residences. Appearance and loss of privacy Reference is made in the proposals that from Providence Hill the building will appear smaller as its cut into the sloping ground but no reference is made that from the North side (GoslingsTurning) all 4 stories will be visible. This will completely change the view from Goslings Turning and we feel our privacy will be lost as the proposal shows a building with a roof height in line with ours, and 2 planned roof terraces on the upper floors, which, we believe, will directly overlook our garden. The revised plans now have 5 foot privacy screening for the roof terraces, but at 5 foot it will still be possible to see over this, directly into our garden. The roof terraces i believe open up from a lounge area, which I would think is where any entertainment would take place? With the doors open, the sound would still carry out across surrounding homes and gardens. I would really rather not sit in my garden (which at present is extremely quiet and peaceful) and have to listen to Bingo or any other entertainment that may be put on throughout the week. The need for the roof terraces, I would suggest, only comes about because the footprint of the building itself is so big, there is not enough land left for sufficient outside space at ground level. We also have side windows into our lounge and kitchen, with the height of this development on the Goslings Turning side and the close proximity to our property, the care home residents would have a clear view straight into these rooms, taking away a considerable amount of our privacy. I suspect the developers are aware of this as there are no artists impression photos from the Goslings Turing side.
Jeffery Mannion	MERRY ROOST BRIDGE ROAD BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8AL	I wish to strongly object again to this very large and unsuitable development in this already overdeveloped area. The more I see of it the more I am dumbfounded why EBC has not rejected the whole scheme before going public again. I am becoming convinced that our civic leaders will not be happy until every last green space in Bursledon will be built on in a similar fashion to neighbouring Southampton. Saying that, I think there are probably more undisturbed green spaces in the eastern edge of Southampton than Bursledon. As I stated previously, the area is

		extremely congested already, the roadside verges of Providence Hill are being used continuously by cars and vans, wrecking the grass verges with impunity, so that gives an indication of what will be happening if this development is allowed, especially during construction and on completion, as there will be inadequate parking on site. With regard to the congested roads in this area, EBC and Highways have done nothing to improve the bottleneck of Windhover roundabout which is of course within a very short distance from this proposed development. My previous comments still stand and again I repeat, why has it got this far and not rejected by EBC. The whole corridor from Windhover roundabout to Bursledon Bridge has been detrimentally changed and urbanised enough.
Peter Mcewen	27 GOSLINGS TURNING BURSLEDON SOUTHAMPTON SO31 8LP	£44000 contribution to transport developments is inadequate, this would not even be a provision for a covered bus stop for the elderly let alone the other provisions a site like this would require.